HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

Single Bench: Hon'ble Mr. Justice **Satish K. Agnihotri**.

Writ Petition No.2323 of 2003

Petitioner		Ranjit Singh Thakur, son of Shree Kishan, aged about 46 years, Upper Division Clerk, presently posted at C.G. Council of Science and Technology, Pandit Ravi Shankar Shukla University Campus, Raipur, District-Raipur, (C.G.)
	Versus	
Respondents	1.	C.G. Council of Science and Technology, through its Director General, Pandit Ravi Shankar Shukla University Campus, Raipur, (Chhattisgarh)
	2.	M.P. Council of Science and Technology, through its Director General, Kisan Bhawan, 26, Arera Hills, Mother Teressa Road, Bhopal, (M.P.)
	3.	State of Chhattisgarh, through Secretary, Department of Science and Technology, D.K.S. Building, Mantralay, Raipur, (C.G)
	4.	State of Madhya Pradesh, through Secretary, Department of Science and Technology, Vallabh Bhawan, Mantralay, Bhopal, (M.P.)

Shri B.D. Guru, counsel for the petitioner.

Shri Pankaj Shrivastava, panel lawyer for respondent No. 3.

Shri Amit Verma, counsel for respondent No.1.

None for respondent No. 2 and 4.

120

ORAL O R D E R

(7th February, 2006)

1. Learned counsel appearing for petitioner submits that petitioner, who is working on the post of Upper Division Clerk at C.G. Council of Science and Technology, Pandit Ravi Shankar Shukla University Campus, is a permanent resident of Bhopal in the State of Madhya Pradesh. The petitioner has been allocated to the State of Chhattisgarh and he wants his reallocation to the State of Madhya Pradesh. Learned counsel for the petitioner, on instruction, submits that the petitioner be granted liberty to make a representation to the respondents for redressal of his grievances. Learned counsel appearing for the respondents have no objection to the said submission.

2. In view of the foregoing, the petition stands disposed of finally, with liberty to the petitioner to make an appropriate representation to the respondents/authorities concerned, within a period of two weeks from today, and the respondents/authorities are directed to consider and decide the same, in an objective manner, in accordance with law, within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of the representation, if any.

Certified copy, as per rules.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge